

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 WAYMO LLC,

No. C 17-00939 WHA

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
13 OTTOMOTTO LLC; and OTTO
14 TRUCKING LLC,

**ORDER DENYING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
TO FILE UNDER SEAL**


15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 Defendants' administrative motion to redact portions of the order excluding Michael
18 Wagner, restricting use of financial evidence at trial, and denying other relief (Dkt. No. 2173) is
19 **DENIED.** The relevant terms of the Tyto acquisition are no more sensitive than other already-
20 public information about Uber's business dealings involving LiDAR and Anthony Levandowski
21 (*e.g.*, the terms of the Otto acquisition), and are important to the public's ability to understand
22 both this case generally and the problems with Wagner's opinion specifically. In a similar vein,
23 Wagner's "example calculation" would offer no greater insight into Uber's internal projections
24 than would other already-public information — including information internal to Uber that
25 defendants did not seek to redact in the very order at issue — but is important to the public's
26 ability to understand the problems with Wagner's reasoning. Under these circumstances,
27 defendants have not shown compelling reasons that would trump the public's right to access.
28

1 For now, the order will be filed on the public docket with all requested redactions
2 applied. All redactions will be lifted, however, unless defendants obtain emergency relief from
3 the Federal Circuit by **NOVEMBER 13 AT NOON**.

4
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7 Dated: November 6, 2017.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE